


## REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed October 27, 2005, the Examiner noted that the applicant's previous reply did not address the rejection of claim 13. In a subsequent telephone conversation, it was explained to the Examiner that the reply was intended to address claim 13 and that a typographical error in the penultimate paragraph of the reply mistakenly addressed claim 15. The applicant notes that the Examiner's interview summary indicates that this explanation was acceptable and that the application will issue.

Further, the applicant is submitting herewith a replacement Abstract as previously requested by the Examiner.

**Customer No. 022831**  
SCHWEITZER CORNMAN  
GROSS & BONDELL LLP  
292 Madison Avenue, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
Tel.: (646) 424-0770  
Fax: (646) 424-0880

Respectfully submitted,

  
Fritz L. Schweitzer III  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 39,363

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.  
Mailing Date: November 23, 2005.

  
Geri De Luca